## United States Patent and Trademark Office

127

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005** 

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/637,103	08/08/2003	Stephen Hess	FIB1.PAU.04	5064
7590 01/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Vic Y. Lin			FETSUGA, ROBERT M	
<b>MYERS DAW</b>	ES ANDRAS & SHERMA	AN LLP	T	D . DED . H.D (DED
Suite 1150			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
19900 MacArthur Blvd.			3751	1
Irvine, CA 92612			DATE MAIL ED. 01/07/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\bigcirc$ 1	
$\times N$	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/637,103	HESS, STEPHEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEE	ely filed  s will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
St <u>a</u> tus						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Oc	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.	•	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 18 is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15 and 18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	· /				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a laim foreign a laim for foreign a laim foreign a laim for foreign a laim fo	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/637,103

Art Unit: 3751

٠.

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species I in the reply filed on October 21, 2004 is acknowledged

Accordingly, claims 15 and 18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), notwithstanding applicant's statement that claims "16-19 are readable upon the elected species."

2. The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "21" denotes different elements in Figs. 1 and 5, reference numeral "121" denotes different elements in Figs. 3 and 5, and reference numeral "113" (pg. 8 ln. 4) is missing from Fig. 3.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "method", "providing", "receiving" and "directing" subject matter set forth in claim 16 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the

appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP \$ 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "substantially flat" language set forth in claims 3 and 10, subject matter set forth in claims 5, 7, 12 and 14, and "method", "providing", "receiving" and "directing" subject matter set forth in claim 16, could not be found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).

Art Unit: 3751

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davock.

The Davock reference discloses a bath tub comprising: a reservoir 12; a threshold (between 14 and 52); and a slope (Fig. 5), as claimed.

6. Claims 5, 6, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davock.

As illustrated in Fig. 5, the slope appears to exhibit the recited sizes. In any event, the choice of size would appear an obvious choice to be made depending upon the desired degree of water splash prevention.

Art Unit: 3751

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Goeltz references disclose various bath tubs having features in common with the instant invention.

- 8. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751